Michael W. Homer, Esq. (#1535) Mark J. Morrise (#3840) SUITTER AXLAND 175 South West Temple, Suite 700 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1480 Telephone: (801) 532-7300



Attorneys for Plaintiff Church of Scientology International

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF LITAH CENTRAL DIVISION

| TOR THE BISTRICT OF STAIR, CERVICE BIVISION                           |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY   |   |  |  |  |
| INTERNATIONAL, a California no  | n_  |  |  |  |
| profit religious corporation,   |   |  |  |  |
| profit tengious corporation,  | : ATTORNEYS' PLANNING   |  |  |  |
| Plaintiff,  | : MEETING REPORT  |  |  |  |
| ,   | :   |  |  |  |
| vs.   | :   |  |  |  |
|   | :   |  |  |  |
| ARTHUR INTERNATIONAL INC.   |   |  |  |  |
| dissolved Utah corporation, DAVID                                     |   |  |  |  |
| HIGHAM, SILVIA M. CARVALHO  | D, : Judge David Sam  |  |  |  |
| KENNETH HALL, THOMAS  |   |  |  |  |
| ALHMANN, and DOES 1 THROUGH   | JR 10, .  |  |  |  |
| Defendants.   | ·<br>·  |  |  |  |
| 1. ATTORNEYS' MEETING:  | Pursuant to Fed. R.Civ.P. 26(f), a meeting was held on May          |  |  |  |
|   | •   |  |  |  |
| 15, 2001 at by telephone co   | nierence  |  |  |  |
| a. The following were in  | wolved in the telephone conference: Mark J. Morrise,                |  |  |  |
| (Suitter Axland) for 1  | Plaintiff; Mona L. Burton (McKay, Burton & Thurman)                 |  |  |  |
| for Defendant David Higham; and Jan Allred (Parr Waddoups Brown Gee & |   |  |  |  |
| Loveless) for Defenda   | ant <u>Silvia Carvalho.</u>   |  |  |  |
| b. The parties have discu   | ssed the nature and basis of their claims and defenses.             |  |  |  |
| 2. INITIAL DISCLOSURE: T  | INITIAL DISCLOSURE: The parties have exchanged orX_ will exchange b |  |  |  |
| June 1, 2001 the information required by Rule 26(a)(1).               |   |  |  |  |
|   | 1   |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |

| 3. | DIS   | COVERY PLAN: The parties jointly propose to the court the following discovery plan:  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|
|    | Use   | separate paragraphs or subparagraphs as necessary if the parties disagree.           |  |  |
|    | a.  | Discovery is necessary on the following subjects: Liability of Defendants under      |  |  |
|    |   | theories of constructive trust, receiving assets in liquidation, and corporate alter |  |  |
|    |   | ego; Plaintiff's damages.  |  |  |
|    | b.  | All discovery will be completed no late than November 15, 2001.                      |  |  |
|    | c.  | The following discovery methods will be used:  |  |  |
|    |   | 25 maximum Interrogatories no limit Requests for Admission                           |  |  |
|    |   | X Oral Exam Depositions Written Questions Depositions                                |  |  |
|    |   | Maximum of 15 for each party Other discovery methods                                 |  |  |
|    | d.  | Reports from retained experts under Rule 26(a)(2) will be submitted on:              |  |  |
|    |   | <u>Dec. 15, 2001</u> by plaintiff(s) <u>Jan. 15, 2002</u> by defendant(s)            |  |  |
|    | e.  | Supplementations under Fed.R.Civ.P 26(e) are due:                                    |  |  |
|    |   | Within 15 days after supplementary material becomes known                            |  |  |
| 4. | OTI   | THER ITEMS:  |  |  |
|    | a.  | The parties request / X do not request a conference with the court prior             |  |  |
|    |   | to entry of the scheduling order.  |  |  |
|    | b.  | The parties request a final pretrial conference in:                                  |  |  |
|    |   | March 2002   |  |  |
|    | c.  | The cutoff dates for joining additional parties are:                                 |  |  |
|    |   | Plaintiff(s) <u>Sep. 15, 2001</u> Defendant(s) <u>Sep. 15, 2001</u>                  |  |  |
|    | d. The cutoff dates for amending pleadings are: |  |  |  |
|    |   | Plaintiff(s) <u>Sep. 15, 2001</u> Defendant(s) <u>Sep. 15, 2001</u>                  |  |  |
|    | e.  | The cutoff date for filing dispositive or potentially dispositive motions is         |  |  |
|    |   | <u>February 15, 2002</u>   |  |  |
|    | f.  | The potential for settlement is: likely unlikely                                     |  |  |
|    |   | X cannot be evaluated prior to: Nov. 15, 2001  |  |  |

| . 1     | g.   | The potential for resolution of this matter through the court's alternative dispute |  |  |  |
|---------|--|---|--|--|--|
|         |  | resolution program is   |  |  |  |
| ,       |  | Via arbitration: likely   | unlikely                                   |  |  |
|         |  | X cannot be evaluated prior to:   | Nov. 15, 2001                              |  |  |
|         |  | Via mediation:: likely  | unlikely                                   |  |  |
|         |  | X cannot be evaluated prior to:   | Nov. 15, 2001                              |  |  |
| 1       | h.   | Final lists of witnesses and exhibits pu  | rsuant to Fed.R.Civ.P 26(a)(3) are due by: |  |  |
| · .     | specify dates  |   |  |  |  |
| ٠. ٠    | 30 days before trial from plaintiff(s) 30 days before trial from defendant(s)                    |   |  |  |  |
| j       | i. The parties should have <u>15</u> days after service of final lists of witnesses and exhibits |   |  |  |  |
|         | to list objections under Rule 26(a)(3).  |   |  |  |  |
| · j     | j.   | This case should be ready for trial by: April 1, 2002                               |  |  |  |
| ]       | k.   | k. The estimated length of the trial is: <u>5 days</u>                              |  |  |  |
| 5. (    | COUNTERPARTS: This Report will be effective if executed in separate counterparts.                |   |  |  |  |
| Date:   | <u> 5 - 22</u>   | SUITTER AXLANI  Michael W. Hon  Mark J. Morrise  Thomas M. Price  Attorneys for Pla | Morrie                                     |  |  |
| Date: _ | <u> </u>   | MCKAY, BURTON  Mona Lyman Bu  Attorneys for Da                                      | a BuAO                                     |  |  |

PARR WADDOUPS BROWN GEE & LOVELESS

Date: My 23, 2001

Jan Allred/

Attorneys for Silvia M. Carvalho

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Attorneys' Planning

Meeting Report was mailed, first class United States mail, postage prepaid, on this 29 day of

Mark Morrise

 $\mathcal{M}$   $\mathcal{M}$  , 2001, to the following:

Jan Allred, Esq.
PARR WADDOUPS BROWN GEE & LOVELESS
185 South State #1300
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Attorneys for Silvia M. Carvalho

Mona Lyman Burton, Esq.
MCKAY, BURTON & THURMAN
600 Gateway Tower East
10 East South Temple
Salt Lake City, Utah 84133
Attorneys for David Higham

G:\7001\1\Attorneys' Planning Meeting.wpd